

Remarks on $B(H) \otimes B(H)$

by

Gilles Pisier*

Department of Mathematics
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843-3368, U. S. A.
and
Université Paris VI
Equipe d'Analyse, Case 186, 75252
Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract

We review the existing proofs that the min and max norms are different on $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ and give a shortcut avoiding the consideration of non-separable families of operator spaces.

Math. Subject. Class.: 46L05, 46M05, 47D15

Let A, B be C^* -algebras. Let $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ be a C^* -norm on their algebraic tensor product, denoted by $A \otimes B$; as usual, $A \otimes_\alpha B$ then denotes the C^* -algebra obtained by completing $A \otimes B$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. By classical results (see [12]) the set of C^* -norms admits a minimal and a maximal element denoted respectively by $\|\cdot\|_{\min}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$. Then A is called nuclear if for any B we have $A \otimes_{\min} B = A \otimes_{\max} B$, or equivalently $\|x\|_{\min} = \|x\|_{\max}$ for any x in $A \otimes B$. We refer the reader to [12, 7] for more information on nuclear C^* -algebras. We note in particular that by results due to Connes and Haagerup ([1, 2]), a C^* -algebra is nuclear iff it is amenable as a Banach algebra (in B.E. Johnson's sense).

Although, it was known early ([13]) that $B(H)$ is not nuclear (assuming $\dim(H) = \infty$), the problem whether $A \otimes_{\min} B = A \otimes_{\max} B$ when $A = B = B(H)$ remained open until [6]. In the latter paper, several different proofs were given. Taking into account the most recent information from [5], we now know that

$$(1) \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{\|t\|_{\max}}{\|t\|_{\min}} \mid t \in B(H) \otimes B(H) \quad \text{rk}(t) \leq n \right\} \geq \frac{n}{2\sqrt{n-1}}.$$

This estimate is rather sharp asymptotically, since it can be shown that the supremum appearing in (1) is $\leq \sqrt{n}$ (see [6] or [10, p. 353]).

Remark. In (3) below, the complex conjugate $\bar{a}$ of a matrix a in M_N is meant in the usual way, i.e. $(\bar{a})_{ij} = \overline{a_{ij}}$. In general, we will need to consider the conjugate $\bar{A}$ of a C^* -algebra A . This is the same object but with the complex multiplication changed to $(\lambda, a) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}a$, so that $\bar{A}$ is anti-isomorphic to A . For any $a \in A$, we denote by $\bar{a}$ the same element viewed as an element of $\bar{A}$. Note that $\bar{\bar{A}}$ can

*Supported in part by NSF grant No. 0200690

also be identified with the opposite C^* -algebra A^{op} which is defined as the same object but with the product changed to $(a, b) \rightarrow ba$. It is easy to check that the mapping $a \rightarrow a^*$ (or more properly $\bar{a} \rightarrow a^*$) is a (linear) $*$ -isomorphism from $\bar{A}$ to A^{op} .

The distinction between A and $\bar{A}$ is necessary in general, but not for $A = B(H)$ since in that case, using $H \simeq \bar{H}$, we have $\overline{B(H)} \simeq B(\bar{H}) \simeq B(H)$, and in particular $\overline{M_N} \simeq M_N$. As a consequence, it is easy to see that for any matrix a in $M_N(A)$ we have

$$\|[\bar{a}_{ij}]\|_{M_N(\bar{A})} = \|[a_{ij}]\|_{M_N(A)}.$$

Note however that $H \simeq \bar{H}$ depends on the choice of a basis so the isomorphism $\overline{B(H)} \simeq B(H)$ is not canonical. Nevertheless, this shows that the problem whether the min and max norms are the same is identical for $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ and for $B(H) \otimes \overline{B(H)}$.

Remark. Consider $a_1, \dots, a_n$ in A and $b_1, \dots, b_n$ in B . Using the preceding remark we have

$$\left\| \sum a_i \otimes \bar{b}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\alpha} \bar{B}} = \left\| \sum a_i \otimes b_i^* \right\|_{A \otimes_{\alpha} B^{op}}$$

for any C^* -norm, in particular for $\alpha = \min$ or $\max$. Moreover, we have

$$\left\| \sum a_i \otimes \bar{b}_i \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} \bar{B}} = \left\| \sum a_i \otimes b_i^* \right\|_{A \otimes_{\max} B^{op}} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum \pi(a_i) \sigma(b_i)^* \right\| \right\}$$

where the supremum runs over all commuting range pairs $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$, $\sigma: B \rightarrow B(H)$ with π a representation and σ an anti-representation on the same (arbitrary) Hilbert space H .

Remark. Let M be a C^* -algebra equipped with a tracial state τ . Then the GNS construction associated to (M, τ) produces a Hilbert space H , a cyclic unit vector ξ in H and commuting left and right actions of M on H (we denote the latter simply by $a \cdot h \cdot b$ for $h \in H$, $a, b \in A$) so that $x \cdot \xi = \xi \cdot x$ and $\tau(xy) = \langle x \cdot \xi \cdot y, \xi \rangle$ ($x, y \in A$). If we denote $L(a)h = a \cdot h$ and $R(a)h = h \cdot a$ then L (resp. R) is a representation of A (resp. A^{op}) on $B(H)$ and the ranges of L and R commute.

We have then for any n -tuple $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ in M

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_1^n u_i \otimes \bar{u}_i \right\|_{M \otimes_{\max} \bar{M}} = \left\| \sum_1^n u_i \otimes u_i^* \right\|_{M \otimes_{\max} M^{op}} = n.$$

Indeed, this is

$$\geq \left\| \sum_1^n L(u_i) R(u_i^*) \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_1^n u_i \cdot \xi \cdot u_i^* \right\|$$

but $u_i \cdot \xi \cdot u_i^* = \xi$ hence (2) is $\geq n$ and $\leq n$ is trivial by the triangle inequality. In particular, for any unitary matrices $u_1, \dots, u_n$ in M_N we have

$$\left\| \sum_1^n u_i \otimes \bar{u}_i \right\|_{\max} = n.$$

In all the proofs in [6], a crucial role is played by a certain constant $C(n)$, defined as follows: $C(n)$ is the smallest constant C such that for each $m \geq 1$, there is $N_m \geq 1$ and an n -tuple $[u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)]$ of unitary $N_m \times N_m$ matrices such that

$$(3) \quad \sup_{m \neq m'} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(m) \otimes \overline{u_i(m')} \right\|_{\min} \leq C.$$

The crucial fact to show that $B(H) \otimes_{\min} B(H) \neq B(H) \otimes_{\max} B(H)$ is that $C(n) < n$. Various improvements were given over the initial estimates of $C(n)$ in [6], notably by A. Valette using Ramanujan graphs (see [10] for more details). The final word on this is now

Theorem 1 ([5]). $C(n) = 2\sqrt{n-1}$ for any $n \geq 2$.

The (much easier) lower bound $2\sqrt{n-1} \leq C(n)$ was proved in [11].

The connection of $C(n)$ to $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ goes through the next statement.

Theorem 2 ([6]). For any $n \geq 1$ and any $C > C(n)$, there is a tensor t of rank n in $B(H) \otimes B(H)$ such that

$$\|t\|_{\max}/\|t\|_{\min} \geq n/C.$$

Our goal is to describe below a shortcut on the proof of this last result. Our “shortcut” avoids any reference to the non-separability of the space of n -dimensional operator space (as was done in [6]) and uses instead a compactness argument for “convergence in distribution” of n -tuples of operators.

More precisely, the notion of “distribution” that we will use is the same as Voiculescu’s definition in free probability but our terminology is slightly different. Let S be the set consisting of the disjoint union of the sets

$$S_k = [1, \dots, n]^k \times \{1, *\}^k.$$

For any $w = ((i_1, \dots, i_k), (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k))$ in S_k and any n -tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $B(H)$ we denote

$$w(x) = x_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} x_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$$

(where $x^\varepsilon = x$ if $\varepsilon = 1$ and $x^\varepsilon = x^*$ if $\varepsilon = *$). Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an n -tuple in a von Neumann algebra M equipped with a tracial state τ . By “the distribution of x ,” we mean the function

$$\mu_x: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\mu_x(w) = \tau(w(x)).$$

When $x = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is an n -tuple of unitary operators, we may as well consider that μ_x is a function defined on $\mathbb{F}_n$ (free group with generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$) by setting $\mu_x(w) = \tau(\pi_x(w))$ where $\pi_x: \mathbb{F}_n \rightarrow M$ is the unitary representation defined by $\pi(g_i) = u_i$.

The following is elementary and well known.

Lemma 3. Fix n . Let $(M(m), \tau_m)$ be a sequence of von Neumann algebras equipped with (tracial) states. Let $x(m) = (x_1(m), \dots, x_n(m))$ be a bounded sequence of n -tuples with $x(m) \in M(m)^n$. Then there is a subsequence $\{m_k\}$ such that the distributions of $x(m_k)$ converge pointwise on S when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

To identify the limit of a sequence of distribution, it will be convenient to use von Neumann algebra ultraproducts. We briefly recall how those are constructed.

Let $(M(m), \tau_m)$ be as before ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a non-trivial ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_m M(m)$. We equip the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with the state $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by

$$\forall y = (y_m) \in \mathcal{B} \quad \tau_{\mathcal{U}}(y) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \tau_m(y_m).$$

The GNS-construction applied to $\mathcal{B}$ and the state $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ produces a Hilbert space $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ and left and right actions of $\mathcal{B}$ on $H_{\mathcal{U}}$, each with kernel equal to

$$I_{\mathcal{U}} = \{y = (y_m) \mid \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \tau_m(y_m^* y_m) = 0\}.$$

We then set

$$M(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{B}/I_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Thus, after passing to the quotient by $I_{\mathcal{U}}$ we obtain an isometric representation:

$$a \rightarrow L(a) \in B(H_{\mathcal{U}})$$

of $M(\mathcal{U})$ on $H_{\mathcal{U}}$ and an isometric representation $a \rightarrow R(a) \in B(H_{\mathcal{U}})$ of $M(\mathcal{U})^{op}$.

It is well known (cf. e.g. [10, p. 211]) that $M(\mathcal{U})$ is a von Neumann algebra, that $L(M(\mathcal{U}))$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $B(H_{\mathcal{U}})$, and that we have

$$L(M(\mathcal{U}))' = R(M(\mathcal{U})) \quad R(M(\mathcal{U}))' = L(M(\mathcal{U})).$$

Let $x = \{x(m) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a bounded sequence of n -tuples with $x(m) \in M(m)^n$ as before. Equivalently, x can be viewed as an n -tuple of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ (i.e. as an element of $\mathcal{B}^n$). Let $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ be the associated n -tuple in $(\mathcal{B}/I_{\mathcal{U}})^n$. Then, for any “word” w in S , we clearly have

$$\tau_{\mathcal{U}}(w(\hat{x})) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \tau_m(w(x(m))).$$

Hence the distribution of $x(m)$ tends pointwise to that of $\hat{x}$ along $\mathcal{U}$, so we can write $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_{x(m)} = \mu_{\hat{x}}$. The next (again elementary and well known) lemma connects limits in distribution with ultrafilters.

Lemma 4. *Let $\{(x(m) \mid m \in \mathbb{N})\}$ be a sequence of n -tuples as in the preceding Lemma. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The distributions of $x(m)$ converge pointwise when $m \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (ii) *For any non-trivial ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{N}$, the associated n -tuple $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n)$ in $(M(\mathcal{U}), \tau_{\mathcal{U}})$ has the same distribution (i.e. its distribution does not depend on $\mathcal{U}$).*
- (iii) *There is a von Neumann algebra (M, τ) equipped with a tracial state and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in M^n such that $x(m) \rightarrow y$ in distribution.*

Theorem 5. *Let $\{[u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)], m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a sequence of n -tuples of unitary matrices satisfying (3) (recall $u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)$ are of size $N_m \times N_m$). Suppose that $[u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)]$ converges in distribution when $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\mathbb{N} = \alpha \cup \beta$ be any disjoint partition of $\mathbb{N}$ into two infinite subsets, let $u_i(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{m \in \alpha} u_i(m)$, $u_i(\beta) = \bigoplus_{m' \in \beta} u_i(m')$, and finally let*

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(\alpha) \otimes \overline{u_i(\beta)}.$$

We have then

$$\|t\|_{\min} \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|t\|_{\max} = n,$$

hence $\|t\|_{\max}/\|t\|_{\min} \geq n/C$, where the min and max norms are relative to $\left(\bigoplus_{m \in \alpha} M_{N_m}\right) \otimes \overline{\left(\bigoplus_{m \in \beta} M_{N_m}\right)}$.

Proof. We have obviously

$$\|t\|_{\min} = \sup_{\substack{m \in \alpha \\ m' \in \beta}} \left\| \sum u_i(m) \otimes \overline{u_i(m')} \right\|$$

hence $\|t\|_{\min} \leq C$. We now turn to $\|t\|_{\max}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a nontrivial ultrafilter on α and let $\mathcal{V}$ be one on β . We construct the ultraproducts $M(\mathcal{U})$ and $M(\mathcal{V})$ as above. Since the quotient mappings $\bigoplus_{m \in \alpha} M(N_m) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{U})$ and $\bigoplus_{m \in \beta} M(N_m) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{V})$ are $*$ -homomorphisms, we have

$$\|t\|_{\max} \geq \left\| \sum u_i \otimes \bar{v}_i \right\|_{M(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{\max} \overline{M(\mathcal{V})}}$$

where u_i (resp. v_i) is the equivalence class modulo $\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}$) of $\bigoplus_{m \in \alpha} u_i(m)$ (resp. $\bigoplus_{m \in \beta} u_i(m)$).

Now, since we assume that $[u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)]$ converges in distribution, $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ must have the same distribution relative respectively to $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{V}}$. But this implies that there is a $*$ -isomorphism π from the von Neumann algebra M_u generated by $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ to the one M_v generated by $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, defined simply by $\pi(u_i) = v_i$. Moreover, since we are dealing here with *finite* traces, there is a conditional expectation P from $M(\mathcal{U})$ onto M_u . Therefore the composition $T = \pi P$ is a unital completely positive map such that $T(u_i) = v_i$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum u_i \otimes \bar{v}_i \right\|_{\max} &\geq \left\| \sum T(u_i) \otimes \bar{v}_i \right\|_{M(v) \otimes_{\max} \overline{M(v)}} \\ &= \left\| \sum v_i \otimes \bar{v}_i \right\|_{M(v) \otimes_{\max} \overline{M(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

But then by the Remark preceding Theorem 1 we conclude that $\|t\|_{\max} \geq n$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. Fix any number $C > C(n)$. Then there is a sequence $\{[u_1(m), \dots, u_n(m)], m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying (3). By Lemma 3, there is a subsequence that converges in distribution. Let $\{N(m)\}$ be the sequence of sizes for this subsequence and let $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_m M_{N(m)}$. Applying Theorem 5 to this subsequence we find t in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$\|t\|_{\max} / \|t\|_{\min} \geq n/C.$$

But since $\mathcal{B} \subset B(H)$ and there is a unital completely positive projection from $B(H)$ onto $\mathcal{B}$, the min and max norms of t viewed as sitting in $B(H) \otimes B(\bar{H})$ are the same as when computed in $\mathcal{B} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ (this essentially goes back to [8]. see also [10, chapter 14]). Thus we obtain Theorem 2.

Remark. The same shortcut applies to the proof of the main result in [9].

References

- [1] A. Connes. On the cohomology of operator algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* **28** (1978), no. 2, 248–253.
- [2] U. Haagerup. All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable. *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 305–319.
- [3] U. Haagerup. Injectivity and decomposition of completely bounded maps in “Operator algebras and their connection with Topology and Ergodic Theory.” Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1132 (1985), 170–222.
- [4] U. Haagerup. Self-polar forms, conditional expectations and the weak expectation property for C^* -algebras. Unpublished manuscript (1995).
- [5] U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjørnsen. A new application of random matrices: $Ext(C_{red}^*(F_2))$ is not a group. *Ann. Math.* (2004).

- [6] M. Junge and G. Pisier. Bilinear forms on exact operator spaces and $B(H) \otimes B(H)$. *Geometric and Functional Analysis (GAFA Journal)* **5** (1995), 329–363.
- [7] R. Kadison and J. Ringrose. Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, Vol. II, Advanced Theory. Academic Press, New-York, 1986.
- [8] C. Lance. On nuclear C^* -algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* **12** (1973) 157–176.
- [9] N. Ozawa. An application of expanders to $\mathbb{B}(l_2) \otimes \mathbb{B}(l_2)$. *J. Funct. Anal.* **198** (2003) 499–510.
- [10] G. Pisier. Introduction to operator space theory. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 294. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. viii+478 pp.
- [11] G. Pisier. Quadratic forms in unitary operators. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **267** (1997), 125–137.
- [12] M. Takesaki. Theory of Operator Algebras I. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1979.
- [13] S. Wassermann. On tensor products of certain group C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **23** (1976), 239–254.